

Строительная механика инженерных конструкций и сооружений Structural Mechanics of Engineering Constructions and Buildings

2024. 20(4). 355-363



ISSN 1815-5235 (Print), 2587-8700 (Online) HTTP://JOURNALS.RUDN.RU/STRUCTURAL-MECHANICS

СЕЙСМОСТОЙКОСТЬ СООРУЖЕНИЙ SEISMIC RESISTENCE

DOI: 10.22363/1815-5235-2024-20-4-355-363 UDC 624.012.4:624.92:699.841 EDN: UAZLMN

Research article / Научная статья

Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Buildings with Sliding Belt Seismic Isolation and Elastic Limiter of Horizontal Displacements

Oleg V. Mkrtychev[®], Salima R. Mingazova[®]⊠

Moscow State University of Civil Engineering (National Research University), *Moscow, Russia* Salima.mingazova@yandex.ru Received: May 21, 2024 Accepted: July 5, 2024

Abstract. An effective way of ensuring seismic resistance of buildings and structures is the use of active seismic protection systems — seismic isolation. One known type of seismic isolation is a sliding belt at foundation level. However, the application of this seismic protection system is limited by the lack of necessary design justifications and studies. The behavior of a cast-in-situ reinforced concrete building with different number of storeys (5, 9, 16 floors) with sliding belt seismic isolation at foundation level containing fluoroplastic plates and an elastic limiter of horizontal displacements is considered. The main focus of the study is the effect of the size of the gap between the elastic limiter and the side faces of the upper foundation on the efficiency of the sliding belt. The analysis was carried out using the direct dynamic method. Comparative graphs of relative displacements and the stress intensity distributions for each calculation case are obtained. It is revealed that proximity of the elastic limiter to the foundation increases the likelihood of collision and the emergence of dangerous vibrations that can lead to the failure of the structure. The optimally selected gap size will allow the sliding belt to operate effectively, limiting excessive horizontal displacements, and reduce seismic loads on the superstructure.

Keywords: active seismic protection, seismic isolation, earthquake-resistant construction, fluoroplastic plates, direct dynamic method

Conflicts of interest. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Authors' contribution. *Mkrtychev O.V.* — scientific guidance, research concept, development of methodology, final conclusions. *Mingazova S.R.* — numerical analysis, evaluation of research results, preparation of text and infographics, final conclusions.

For citation. Mkrtychev O.V., Mingazova S.R. Behavior of reinforced concrete buildings with sliding belt seismic isolation and elastic limiter of horizontal displacements. *Structural Mechanics of Engineering Constructions and Buildings*. 2024; 20(4):355–363. http://doi.org/10.22363/1815-5235-2024-20-4-355-363

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

By NC https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode

Oleg V. Mkrtychev, Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Head of the Department of Strength of Materials, Moscow State University of Civil Engineering (National Research University) (MGSU), Moscow, Russia; eLIBRARY SPIN-code: 9676-4986, ORCID: 0000-0002-2828-3693; e-mail: mkrtychev@yandex.ru

Salima R. Mingazova, Postgraduate student of the Department of Strength of Materials, Moscow State University of Civil Engineering (National Research University) (MGSU), Moscow, Russia; eLIBRARY SPIN-code: 7506-5852, ORCID 0009-0009-3654-4038; e-mail: salima.mingazova@yandex.ru

[©] Mkrtychev O.V., Mingazova S.R., 2024

Работа железобетонных зданий с сейсмоизолирующим скользящим поясом с упругим ограничителем горизонтальных перемещений

О.В. Мкртычев^[®], С.Р. Мингазова[®]⊠

Национальный исследовательский Московский государственный строительный университет, *Москва, Россия* 🖂 salima.mingazova@yandex.ru

Поступила в редакцию: 21.05.2024 г. Принята к публикации: 05.07.2024 г

Аннотация. Эффективным способом обеспечения сейсмостойкости зданий и сооружений является использование активной системы сейсмозащиты — сейсмоизоляции. Известна сейсмоизоляция в виде сейсмоизолирующего скользящего пояса в уровне фундамента. Однако применение данной системы сейсмозащиты ограничивается отсутствием необходимых расчетных обоснований и исследований. Рассмотрена работа монолитного железобетонного здания различной этажности (5, 9, 16 этажей) с сейсмоизолирующим скользящим поясом в уровне фундамента с фторопластовыми пластинами и упругим ограничителем горизонтальных перемещений. Основное внимание уделено влиянию зазора между упругим ограничителем и боковыми гранями верхнего фундамента на эффективность работы скользящего пояса. Расчет проведен с использованием прямого динамического метода. Получены сравнительные графики относительных перемещений и изополя интенсивности напряжений для каждой расчетной ситуации. Выявлено, что близкое расположение упругого ограничителя к фундаменту увеличивает вероятность столкновения и возникновения опасных колебаний, которые могут привести к разрушению конструкции. Оптимально подобраное расстояние позволит эффективно работать скользящему поясу, ограничивая чрезмерные горизонтальные смещения, снизить сейсмические нагрузки на надземные конструкции здания.

Ключевые слова: активная сейсмозащита, сейсмоизоляция, сейсмостойкое строительство, фторопластовые пластины, прямой динамический метод

Заявление о конфликте интересов. Авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов.

Вклад авторов. *Мкртычев О.В.* — научное руководство, концепция исследования, развитие методологии, итоговые выводы. *Мингазова С.Р.* — проведение численных исследований, анализ результатов исследования, подготовка исходного текста, подготовка инфографиков, итоговые выводы.

Для цитирования: *Mkrtychev O.V., Mingazova S.R.* Behavior of reinforced concrete buildings with sliding belt seismic isolation and elastic limiter of horizontal displacements // Строительная механика инженерных конструкций и сооружений. 2024. Т. 20. № 4. С. 355–363. http://doi.org/10.22363/1815-5235-2024-20-4-355-363

1. Introduction

Seismic resistance of buildings and structures is an important aspect of engineering design and construction in earthquake-prone regions. With increasing urbanization and development of cities, involving construction in complex geological conditions, the problem of seismic resistance is becoming more and more relevant and important. Earthquakes can cause loss of life, destruction of infrastructure and significant economic losses, so it is important to ensure the safety and stability of buildings and structures against possible seismic impacts.

Many experts around the world are actively engaged in research and development of methods and technologies in the field of earthquake-resistant construction, contributing to this important field. The research papers of Ya.M. Eisenberg [1], O.V. Mkrtychev [2], I. Mirzaev [3], V.I. Smirnov [4], N. Maureira-Carsalade [5], M. Erdik [6], P.M. Calvi [7] and others [8–16] consider methods of increasing earthquake resistance of buildings and structures using various types of active seismic protection systems, including sliding belt

Мкртычев Олег Вартанович, доктор технических наук, профессор, заведующий кафедрой сопротивления материалов, Национальный исследовательский Московский государственный строительный университет (НИУ МГСУ), Москва, Россия; eLIBRARY SPIN-код: 9676-4986, ORCID: 0000-0002-2828-3693; e-mail: mkrtychev@yandex.ru

Мингазова Салима Рафиловна, аспирант кафедры сопротивления материалов, Национальный исследовательский Московский государственный строительный университет (НИУ МГСУ), Москва, Россия; eLIBRARY SPIN-код: 7506-5852, ORCID 0009-0009-3654-4038; e-mail: salima.mingazova@ yandex.ru

base isolation. The authors of papers [17–19] investigated the sensitivity of seismic isolation systems under different parameters of the external seismic loading and the structure, as well as the influence of seismic isolation parameters under the optimal design of structures. In [20–22] the issues of seismic isolation of nuclear power plants are considered. In [23; 24], the influence of damping and its parameters on the performance of seismic isolation was studied.

The subject of this study is the performance of sliding belt base isolation with fluoroplastic plates (PTFE) during earthquake.

The purpose of the study is to analyze the influence of the elastic limiter of horizontal displacements on the efficiency of the sliding belt base isolation.

The main objectives of the study are:

1) development of a model of a cast-in-situ reinforced concrete building with sliding belt base isolation and an elastic limiter of horizontal displacements;

2) analysis of the cast-in-situ reinforced concrete building with sliding belt base isolation and an elastic limiter of horizontal displacements under an intense earthquake using the direct dynamic method;

3) examination of the results of the numerical study and evaluation of the influence of the elastic limiter on the efficiency of the sliding belt.

2. Method

The behavior of a cast-in-situ reinforced concrete building of different storeys (5, 9, 16 floors) with sliding belt seismic isolation and an elastic limiter of horizontal displacements on a rigid base has been investigated (Figures 1, 2).







Figure 2. Fragment of the finite element model S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program

A material combination of PTFE over PTFE with a sliding friction coefficient of $\mu = 0.05$ is used as the friction minimization component.

Compacted sand with the following mechanical characteristics is used as the elastic limiter of horizontal displacements: $\rho = 1680 \text{ kg/m}^3$, E = 100 MPa.

The elastic limiter is installed along the perimeter of the upper foundation at a particular distance. The value of the distance from the side edges of the upper foundation to the side edges of the sand should be selected in such a way as to ensure the efficiency of the sliding belt on one hand, and on the other hand, to prevent large residual displacements that may adversely affect the structure, including service lines. In the course of the study, the cases when the distance between the sand and the upper foundation is 5, 10, 15, 20 cm were considered.

The height and width of the sand is assumed to be 1 m. The concrete-sand sliding coefficient of friction is 0.3.

The initial data of the considered cast-in-situ reinforced concrete buildings are given in [25–27].

The analysis was performed by the direct dynamic method in *LS-DYNA* software using explicit schemes of direct integration of the equation of motion. The nonlinear behavior of concrete (024 MAT PIECEWISE LINEAR PLASTICITY) and elastic behavior of sand (001 MAT ELASTIC) were adopted in the analysis [28].

The intensity of the earthquake is 9 on the MSK-64 scale. A rigid base problem in a non-inertial reference frame is considered. The external seismic loading is specified using the accelerogram of the ground surface, which is the result of the combined ground motion due to the incoming waves from the interior of the earth (longitudinal, transverse and surface waves). The equation of motion of a system with a finite number of degrees of freedom in this case is written in the following form [29]:

$$[M]\vec{U} + [C]\vec{U} + [K]\vec{U} = -[M]\cdot\vec{1}\cdot a_0(t),$$

where [M] is the mass matrix; [C] is the damping matrix; [K] is the stiffness matrix; \vec{U} is the vector of velocities of the concentrated masses; \vec{U} is the vector of accelerations of the concentrated masses; \vec{U} is the vector of displacements of the concentrated masses; $a_0(t)$ is the acceleration of seismic motion.

When analyzing a building with seismic isolation in the form of a sliding belt at the foundation level, it is necessary to take into account that, generally, the worst case for such structure is a low-frequency external seismic loading, which can, for example, lead to large residual displacements. Therefore, a two-component accelerogram with a dominant frequency of 1.04 Hz in the X-axis and 0.83 Hz in the Y-axis was considered as an external seismic load (Figures 3, 4).



Figure 3. Single-component earthquake accelerogram in *X* direction for the 16-storey building S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program



Figure 4. Single-component earthquake accelerogram in *Y* direction for the 16-storey building S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program

3. Results and Discussion

Below are comparative graphs of relative displacements of the 1st floor of the 5-storey building along the X and Y axis without seismic isolation, with seismic isolation and without elastic limiter, with seismic isolation and with an elastic limiter of horizontal displacements located at a distance of 5, 10, 15 cm (Figures 5, 6).

It should be noted that in all figures for the 5-storey and 9-storey building there is no graph of relative displacement of the 1st floor in the case when the elastic limiter is installed at a distance of 20 cm from the side edges of the upper foundation, because the displacement of the upper foundation is less than this distance. This analysis case is similar to the case of a building with seismic isolation and without an elastic limiter.



Figure 5. Displacement of the top of the 1st floor of the 5-storey building relative to its bottom along the *X* axis S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program



Figure 6. Displacement of the top of the 1st floor of the 5-storey building relative to its bottom along the *Y* axis S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program

Below are comparative graphs of relative displacements of the 1st floor of the 9-storey building along the X and Y axis without seismic isolation, with seismic isolation and without elastic limiter, with seismic isolation and with an elastic limiter at a distance of 5, 10, 15 cm (Figures 7, 8).

Below are comparative graphs of relative displacements of the 1st floor of the 16-storey building along the X and Y axis without seismic isolation, with seismic isolation and without elastic limiter, with seismic isolation and with an elastic limiter at a distance of 5, 10, 15 cm (Figures 9, 10).



Figure 7. Displacement of the top of the 1st floor of the 9-storey building relative to its bottom along the *X* axis S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program



Figure 8. Displacement of the top of the 1st floor of the 9-storey building relative to its bottom along the *Y* axis S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program



Figure 9. Displacement of the top of the 1st floor of the 16-storey building relative to its bottom along the *X* axis S o u r ce : compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program



Figure 10. Displacement of the top of the 1st floor of the 16-storey building relative to its bottom along the *X* axis S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program

Figure 11 presents the stress intensity distributions for the 9-storey building with a PTFE sliding belt and an elastic limiter of horizontal displacements (at a distance of 5, 10, 15, 20 cm).



Figure 11. Stress intensity distribution (in units of Pa) at time t = 13.20 s of the 9-storey building with seismic isolation and elastic limiter of horizontal displacements at a distance of: a - 5 cm; b - 10 cm; c - 15 cm; d - 20 cm S o u r c e: compiled by S.R. Mingazova in the LS-DYNA program

The analysis of the obtained results shows that at a distance of 5 cm between the sand and the upper foundation, the worst outcome — collapse — is observed for the 5- and 16-storey buildings. This is due to the fact that at the moment of impact of the upper foundation with the sand, strong vibrations of the building and large relative displacements occur.

As the distance between the upper foundation and the elastic limiter increases, the probability of collision decreases. The farther away the elastic limiter is located, the smaller the building vibrations and relative displacements will be, which is observed in the results of the 5, 9-storey and 16-storey buildings. The case where the distance between the upper foundation and the elastic limiter is 20 cm is similar to the case without the elastic limiter, where the lowest relative displacement of the storey is observed.

4. Conclusion

The following conclusions are made based on the obtained results:

1. If the elastic limiter is close to the foundation (5 cm), the probability of impact increases, causing dangerous vibrations and structural damage.

2. When selecting the optimal gap size, it is necessary to ensure that this distance facilitates the performance of the sliding belt on one hand and is not too large on the other hand.

3. Despite of the fact that it is quite obvious that when the elastic limiter is close to the foundation, the probability of impact increases, causing dangerous vibrations and failure, the conducted studies allow to determine the value of the most optimal gap, which would ensure the efficiency of the sliding belt, limit excessive horizontal displacements and at the same time would not be too large.

4. The results of the numerical study show that the position of the elastic limiter of horizontal displacements critically affects the performance of the seismic isolation. With an optimally selected gap, this type of seismic isolation significantly reduces seismic loads on the superstructure, which allows to increase its stability and safety during earthquake.

The proposed analysis method of a cast-in-situ reinforced concrete building with seismic isolation by the direct dynamic method, based on explicit schemes of direct integration of the equation of motion, allows to obtain a solution in the time domain, taking into account the nonlinear behavior of the structure. The developed calculation method and research results can be used by design and research organizations in the construction of buildings and structures in earthquake-prone areas.

References / Список литературы

1. Eisenberg Ya.M., Smirnov V.I. Seismic safety of structures and settlements. Innovative solutions. *Urban planning*. 2013;(1):57–64. (In Russ.) EDN: PYWRPV

Айзенберг Я.М., Смирнов В.И. Сейсмобезопасность сооружений и поселений. Инновационные решения // Градостроительство. 2013. № 1 (23). С. 57–64. EDN: PYWRPV

2. Mkrtychev O.V., Bubnov A.A. Features of calculating a seismically insulated building by displacement. *Vestnik MGSU*. 2014;(6):63–70. (In Russ.) EDN: SIJYDH

Мкртычев О.В, Бунов А.А. Особенности расчета сейсмоизолированного здания по перемещениям // Вестник МГСУ. 2014. № 6. С. 63–70. EDN: SIJYDH

3. Mirzaev I., Turdiev M. Vibrations of buildings with sliding foundations under real seismic effects. *Construction of Unique Buildings and Structures*. 2021;1(94):9407. https://doi.org/10.4123/CUBS.94.7

4. Smirnov V.I. Application of innovative technologies of seismoisolation of buildings in seismic zone. *Earthquake* engineering. Constructions safety. 2009;(4):16–23. (In Russ.) EDN: QCLRRB

Смирнов В.И. Применение инновационных технологий сейсмозащиты зданий в сейсмических районах // Сейсмостойкое строительство. Безопасность сооружений. 2009. № 4. С. 16–23. EDN: QCLRRB

5. Maureira-Carsalade N., Pardo E., Oyarzo-Vera C., Roco A. A roller type base isolation device with tensile strength. *Engineering structures*. 2020;221:111003. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2020.111003

6. Erdik M., Ulker O., Sadan B, Tuzun C. Seismic isolation code developments and significant applications in Turkey. *Soil dynamics and earthquake engineering*. 2018;115:413–437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.09.009

7. Paolo M. Calvi, Gian Michele Calvi. Historical development of friction-based seismic isolation systems. *Soil dynamics and earthquake engineering*. 2018;106:14–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2017.12.003

8. Takafumi Fujita Dr. Seismic isolation of civil buildings in Japan. *Progress in structural engineering and materials*. 2005;1(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/pse.2260010311

9. Zhou F.L. Seismic isolation of civil buildings in the People's Republic of China. *Progress in structural engineering and materials*. 2001;3(3):268–276. https://doi.org/10.1002/pse.85

10. Avinash A.R., Krishnamoorthy A., Kamath K., Chaithra M. Sliding isolation systems: historical review, modeling techniques, and the contemporary trends. *Buildings*. 2022;12(11):8–23. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12111997

11. Asaad R., Kaadan A. Retrofitting existing masonry structures by using seismic base isolation system. *Arabian journal for science and engineering*. 2023;49:5243–5254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-023-08381-9

12. Warn G.P., Ryan K.L. A Review of seismic isolation for buildings: historical development and research needs. *Buildings*. 2012;(2):300–325. https://www.mdpi.com/2075-5309/2/3/300

13. Patil A.Y., Patil R.D. A review on seismic analysis of a multistoried steel building provided with different types of damper and base isolation. *Asian journal of civil engineering*. 2024;25:3277–3283. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42107-023-00978-7

14. Cardone D., Flora A., Gesualdi G. Inelastic response of RC frame buildings with seismic isolation. *Earthquake* engineering and structural dynamics. 2013;42(6):871–889. https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.2250

15. Hou S., Chen Y., Wu H., Wang Z. Seismic isolation design and analysis of a complex medical building. *Structural concrete*. 2024;25(3):1495–1498. https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.202300832

16. Banovic I., Radnic J., Grgic N., Matesan D. The use of limestone sand for the seismic base isolation of structures. *Advances in Civil Engineering*. 2018;(6):1–12 https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9734283

17. Dushimimana A., Dushimimana C., Mbereyaho L., Niyonsenga A.A. Effects of building height and seismic load on the optimal performance of base isolation system. *Arabian journal for science and engineering*. 2023;48:13283–13302. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-023-07660-9

18. Leblouba M. Selection of seismic isolation system parameters for the near-optimal design of structures. *Scientific Reports*. 2022;12:14734. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-19114-7

19. Politopoulos I., Pham H. Sensitivity of seismically isolated structures. *Earthquake engineering and structural dynamics*. 2009;38(8):989–1007. https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.879

20. Whittaker A.S., Sollogoub P., Kim M.K. Seismic isolation of nuclear power plants: past, present and future. *Nuclear Engineering and Design*. 2018;338:290–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2018.07.025

21. Yu C.-C., Bolisetti C., Coleman J.L., Kosbab B., Whittaker A.S. Using seismic isolation to reduce risk and capital cost of safety-related nuclear structures. *Nuclear engineering and design*. 2018;326:268–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2017.11.016

22. Lo Frano R. Benefits of seismic isolation for nuclear structures subjected to severe earthquake. *Science and technology of nuclear installations*. 2018;2018(1):8017394. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8017394

23. Hall J.F. The role of damping in seismic isolation. *Earthquake engineering and structural dynamics*. 1999; 28(12):1717–1720. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9845(199901)28:1<3::AID-EQE801>3.0.CO;2-D

24. Du Y., Li H., Spencer B.F. Effect of non-proportional damping on seismic isolation. *Journal of structural control*. 2002;9(3):205–236. https://doi.org/10.1002/stc.13

25. Mkrtychev O., Mingazova S. Analysis of the reaction of reinforced concrete buildings with a varying number of stories with a seismic isolation sliding belt to an earthquake. *IOP Conference series: materials science and engineering*. 2020;869:052065. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/869/5/052065

26. Mkrtychev O., Mingazova S. Numerical analysis of antiseismic sliding belt performance. *International Journal for Computational Civil and Structural Engineering*. 2023;19(2):161–171. https://doi.org/10.22337/2587-9618-2023-19-2-161-171

27. Mkrtychev O., Mingazova S. Study of the seismic isolation sliding belt: The case of a monolithic reinforced concrete building. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2020;1425(1):012161. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1425/1/012161

28. LS-DYNA. KEYWORD users manual. Volume I, II. Livermore Software Technology Corporation (LSTC). P. 3186.

29. Mkrtychev O.V., Jinchvelashvili G.A. Problems of accounting for nonlinearities in the theory of seismic resistance (hypotheses and misconceptions): monograph. Moscow: MGSU; 2014. (In Russ.) ISBN 978-5-7264-0801-9

Мкртычев О.В., Джинчвелашвили Г.А. Проблемы учета нелинейностей в теории сейсмостойкости (гипотезы и заблуждения): монография. Москва: МГСУ, 2014. 192 с. ISBN978-5-7264-0801-9