Diverse Mechanisms of Allergen Specific Immunotherapy
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Abstract. Allergen immunotherapy (AIT) is widely used to establish a tolerant immune response and it is currently the only disease modifying treatment. There are different routes to administer the allergen, including subcutaneous, sublingual, intralymphatic, epicutaneous, intradermal, and oral and local nasal allergen immunotherapy. Although the optimal administration route depends on the type of allergen, some patients remain unresponsive and so it is important to predict the outcome before and during treatment. Therefore, there is a need to identify candidate prognostic markers for allergen immunotherapy. Herein, we discuss the recent literature on the molecular mechanisms of AIT.
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Abbreviations

Th, T helper
Ig, immunoglobulin
DCs, dendritic cells
VEGFa, vascular endothelial growth factor A
SCIT, subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy
OIT, Oral immunotherapy
SLIT, Sublingual allergen immunotherapy
SLIT-tablet, Sublingual immunotherapy tablet

HDM, house dust mite
ILIT, Intralymphatic immunotherapy
EPIT, Epicutaneous immunotherapy
APCs, antigen-presenting cells
IDIT, intradermal immunotherapy
der p, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus
IL, interleukin
Tregs, regulatory T cells
Bregs, regulatory B cells
ILCs, innate lymphoid cells
TGF, transforming growth factor
nTregs, natural Tregs
H2R, histamine type 2 receptor
nNO, nasal nitric oxide
Tfh, follicular helper T
TCR, T cell receptor
CRTH2, prostaglandin D2 receptor
CCR, C-C chemokine receptor
ILC1s, Group 1 ILCs
ILC2s, group 2 ILCs
ILC3s, group 3 ILCs
RORγt, retinoic acid-related orphan receptor gamma t
cDCs, classical/conventional dendritic cells
LP, lamina propria
MesLN, mesenchymal lymph nodes
CD-Sens, allergen threshold sensitivity
DAO, diamine oxidase
tIgE, total IgE
sIgG4, allergen-specific IgG4
IgE-FAB, IgE-facilitated allergen binding to B cells
ISAC, Immuno-solid-phase allergen chip
DCregs, regulatory dendritic cells
sIgG4, allergen-specific IgG4
ISAC, Immuno-solid-phase allergen chip
Tr 1, Tr 1 type regulatory

Key Messages
♦ Monitoring the alteration in T cells and regulatory B cells during IT treatment may predict the outcome of AIT.
♦ Regulatory B cells are capable of inducing tolerance in patients who respond to AIT through their IL-10+IL1RA, as well as their IgG4 products which capture the allergen before it reaches IgE.
♦ As a consequence of SLIT, OIT, and EPIT are epigenetic alterations in the FoxP3 promoter region in Tregs which is important to prolonged production of Tregs.
♦ Ratios of IgG4, IgA, and IgA2 to IgE might be functional to assess the benefit and clinical response to AIT.

Introduction
Allergies develop due to the immune response to discrete environmental protein antigens, called allergens [1]. The first step in development is known as the sensitization phase. Subsequent activation of dendritic cells (DCs) with the allergen lead to the expansion of allergen-specific T helper (Th) 2 cells. These clones can induce Ig (immunoglobulin) E isotype switching in B cells and differentiation into IgE-secreting plasma cells. The IgE then binds to the high-affinity FceRI on basophils and mast cells. Once the allergen crosslinks the IgE antibodies bound to these receptor, it elicits a type I hypersensitivity reaction triggering the degranulation and release of various synthesized mediators such as histamine, leukotrienes, heparin, some proteases in addition to TNF-α and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFa) (Figure 1) [1].

Since the discovery of the molecular structures of allergens it has become possible to produce recombinant and synthetic allergens and use them in allergen-specific immunotherapy (AIT) to induce a protective immune response [2]. AIT is widely used for establishing a tolerant immune response as well as maintaining a long-lasting effect, even if the patient displays inconsistent treatment compliance. Furthermore, AIT is a cost-saving method when compared to anti-cytokine antibodies and is able to decrease the usage of symptomatic drug treatment [3]. Even though AIT is the only disease modifying treatment, this therapy is limited by its efficacy, safety, length, patient adherence to treatment [4], and importantly, not every patient responds to treatment. In the interest of determining the effects of AIT on developing immune tolerance to allergens, routine laboratory tests and biomarkers must be developed [1, 5], and so there is a need to improve our understanding of the immunological mechanisms involved.

In this review, we focus on the current literature on the various mechanisms of immunotherapy and potential biomarkers for monitoring clinical and immunological response to AIT for stratification of patients.

General Methods and Novel Approaches of Administration
Various guidelines for AIT are available but in a methodological manner they are heterogeneous. Up to now, subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy (SCIT) has been the major administration route of AIT. In this section, we define and discuss SCIT and other promising AIT routes, including epicutaneous, sublingual, intradermal, intralymphatic, oral, and nasal [6, 7].
In SCIT the allergens can be either aqueous or physically-adsorbed (depot) extracts, or chemically modified allergens (allergoids) as depot formulations [8]. The efficacy of SCIT has been demonstrated with bee venom, ragweed pollen, dust mite, grass pollens, cat and dog dander, and the dosing regimen has been optimized [9]. Moreover, it is an efficacious treatment for adults and children who have allergic rhinitis (AR) with or without asthma [8], and in AR patients with or without conjunctivitis [10]. In addition, the standard duration of treatment is between 3—5 years with respect to prospective studies of SCIT with grass pollen and house dust mite (HDM) extract in AR and asthmatic patients [11]. Although it is the most common IT route, the administration of SCIT remains limited due to the frequent injections required for a period of at least 3 years [9]. Adverse reactions mostly appear within 30 minutes after injection and are usually observed as local reactions (injection site redness and swelling) [12]. It is also worth to point out that current SCIT challenges include allergen heterogeneity, dosage optimization and duration of treatment.

Sublingual allergen immunotherapy (SLIT) comprises sublingual (oral) administration of a certain amount of allergen extract which causes the allergy [13]. However, biodistribution studies have shown the lack of systemic absorption of allergen through the oral mucosa after sublingual administration. Hence, the clinical effect should arise from the local interaction of the allergen with the mucosal immune system. Different formulations of allergens have been developed as alternatives to SCIT, such as the sublingual immunotherapy tablet (SLIT-tablet). Particularly SLIT-tablets may be beneficial for children who are scared of injections and needles [14]. The SLIT-tablets have been developed for the treatment of grass pollen-induced AR and HDM-induced AR but the allergen content and dosing have not been standardized to date. The varied content among different products also affects the guidelines [15]. In addition, a limitation of SLIT tablet occurs from its ingredient which consists of only one antigen [14]. It has been recently demonstrated that pharmaceutical SLIT-tablet formulations are also important and affects the efficacy with which allergen has delivered from the dry state of the tablet into a soluble form [16]. SLIT has a good safety and tolerability profile compared to SCIT owing to the lack of systemic exposure to intact allergens and low frequency of systemic allergic reactions [14].

Oral immunotherapy (OIT) is an option for treatment of food allergy and has been broadly used to treat peanut, cow’s milk, and egg allergy in clinical practice [7]. The food used in the study was
prepared at low concentrations through a serial dilutions of food suspensions [17]. It has shown that low-dose OIT has the capability of achieving sustained unresponsiveness in children with peanut anaphylaxis by inducing immunological changes [18]. On the other hand, OIT can be given in an adult patient who has severe milk, peanut, or egg allergy under certain conditions. Even though OIT leads into desensitization it still remains unclear whether tolerance is persistent [19]. Long-term OIT in adults has a different efficacy and safety profile and requires further inspection, even though a similar risk and rate of allergic and anaphylactic events during build-up and maintenance phase has been demonstrated [20]. The most common side effect is itching, however, compared with other AIT administration route, OIT is prone to frequent and more severe adverse events, for instance, anaphylaxis, gastrointestinal side effects, and eosinophilic esophagitis [19, 21]. Consequently, there is a large gap in studies related to OIT due to focusing mostly on peanut OIT which must be filled in immediately.

Intralymphatic immunotherapy (ILIT) is a direct intralymphatic injection of the antigen into lymph nodes, which improves patient adherence to AIT treatment by reducing the number of applications and length of treatment [6]. The major advantages of ILIT are the short duration of treatment and the administration of allergen extract at low doses. Clinical trials have shown that ILIT against grass pollen and bee venom is safe and efficient. It also has a reduced risk of systemic adverse effects, such as anaphylaxis that can have fatal consequences. This novel route of administration is promising but more clinical data is necessary to approve the routine use of ILIT [22].

Epicutaneous immunotherapy (EPIT) benefits from the skin’s immune properties to regulate allergic responses. Hence it is one of the allergen-specific immunotherapy approaches currently being investigated for rhino-conjunctivitis and food allergies [23]. EPIT requires depositing the allergen onto a patch with a surrounding adhesive [24]. Two different epicutaneous delivery systems were developed for the purpose of improving allergen skin penetration [23, 25]. Tape stripping consists of physical removal of the epidermis corneal layers before allergen patch administration, which increases allergen passive diffusion through the epidermal layers. Allergen penetration creates a pro-inflammatory state which increases the interaction between the allergen and antigen-presenting cells (APCs). The hydration/occlusive system forms an occlusive chamber, which permits water to go up from the basal layer to the corneum stratum of the epidermis. Thus, the allergen can reach the deep epidermis stratum and it is carried by Langerhans cells to local regional lymph nodes [23, 26]. When compared to SCIT or OIT, application of allergen to intact skin is less invasive, without the need for injection or swallowing of an allergen [24]. EPIT is well tolerated, except that only mild skin irritation may occur at the patch site. Furthermore, EPIT shows a desensitization effect lower than OIT and SLIT and an outstanding safety profile [27].

Intradermal immunotherapy (IDIT) is a different AIT administration approach, which is based on allergen injection into the epidermis. A recent study focused on the potential of intradermal delivery of nanoparticle vaccines by using hollow microneedles. This study showed that nanoparticles coated with poly lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) induced a stronger IgG2a response than mesoporous silica nanoparticles, gelatin nanoparticles and liposomes. Moreover, CD8\(^+\) and CD4\(^+\) T cell responses were highest when induced by OVA/poly(I:C)-loaded liposomes [28]. In summary, a microneedle delivery method was suggested for the intradermal injection of nanoparticle vaccines. This novel antigen administration approach was tested in murine models [28]. However, it may induce tolerance more efficiently in human compared to other administration routes.

Local nasal immunotherapy (LNIT) involves the spraying of allergen extracts directly into the nasal cavity. These allergens can be natural or chemically modified and are provided in a soluble form. It seems that LNIT is effective for the treatment of allergic rhinitis [29]. However, the study with children has proved that LNIT frequently causes
a local adverse reaction [30]. Novel techniques have been developed to reduce the number of persistent nasal reactions and ease the implementation. A recent *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* (Der p)-coated strip has been developed for self-application. Although there were no systemic adverse events, some patients had transient nasal symptoms during LNIT treatment but were controlled with oral prednisolone [29].

**An Overview of the Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of AIT**

There are various factors which play different roles in developing an allergy. Western lifestyle or living without chronic infections with mycobacteria, helminths or *Helicobacter* in an environment causes increased Th2 cell immunity via loss of regulatory T cells (Tregs) or interleukin (IL)-10-producing regulatory B cells (Bregs) [31]. Dysregulation in Th2 immunity is seen with increased concentrations of allergen-specific immunoglobulin IgE caused by IgE-dependent degranulation of mast cells and basophils. Moreover, IL-4 secreting innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) and natural killer T cells are also involved in the further development of type 2 immune responses [32]. Each of these factors plays a part in allergic inflammation. AIT is relevant to all of these previously mentioned components. The aim of AIT is to re-establish immune tolerance to allergens (Figure 2). Different types of AIT may comprise various mechanisms. Recent findings are written below.

![Fig. 2. Mechanisms of immune tolerance during AIT](image-url)
SCIT Mechanisms

It is well known that the essential mechanisms of SCIT involves the reestablishment of the peripheral T cell tolerance via inducing different types of Treg cells [33]. It has been demonstrated that IL-10-secreting Tr1 cell numbers were increased after 3 months and remained constant until 12 months in peripheral blood [34]. Similarly, a 2-year study with grass pollen SCIT showed increased numbers of IL-10+ Tregs in nasal mucosa [35]. In addition to Tregs, bee venom immunotherapy can also induce and maintain tolerance through IL-10-producing B regulatory subsets in patients during bee venom immunotherapy [36]. In a recent study also demonstrated Der p 1-specific B-cell and Breg cell responses over a 2-year period during AIT and suggests novel mechanisms of allergen tolerance, such as an increase in numbers of circulating allergen-specific memory B cells and IL-1RA production by Breg cells [37].

SLIT Mechanisms

After sublingually (oral) administration of a particular allergen, an antigen is presented to Tregs. They secrete IL-10 and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β which can induce the primary IgG4 subtype and IgA antibodies production by B cells. These antigen-specific IgG antibodies can block the allergic inflammation cascade outcome of antigen recognition by IgE. Furthermore, Tregs suppress IgE production via downregulating cytokine production in Th2 cells [13].

ILIT Mechanisms

In a novel approach with major cat allergen Feld1 has demonstrated that after intralymphatic immunotherapy with recombinant MAT-Feld1 in a human, a predominant subclass of IgG was observed as IgG4 instead of IgG, IgG2 and IgG3 [38]. T cell unresponsiveness to allergen identified by increased allergen-specific IL-10-producing FOXP3 positive Tregs [22].

EPIT Mechanisms

Research has shown that EPIT induces Tregs. These cells are the centre of the immune regulatory effect and they inhibit Th2 cells. EPIT-induced Tregs also express a large repertoire of homing receptors suggesting that Tregs are able to migrate to various sites of allergen exposure (i.e., skin, lung and gut), suppress local immune responses to allergen stimulation, and potentially induce tolerance [24].

IDIT Mechanisms

According to intradermal grass pollen immunotherapy phase 2 trial in adults with moderate-to-severe allergic rhinitis, the results relevant with B cells, have shown an elevation in allergen-specific IgE levels and also observed on *P pratense*–specific IgG and IgE titers to the main grass allergens even though there wasn’t a difference on IgG4 responses [39]. Additionally, as for T cell responses, they observed higher expression of the Th2 marker CRTH2 and lower expression of Th1 related chemokine receptor CXCR3 on T cells which are cultured from skin punch biopsy explants in the IDIT group. This results suggests that IgE synthesis occurs via local priming of cutaneous Th2 responses [39] and that IDIT is not sufficient to activate an allergen-specific IgG response in the humoral arm of the immune system.

LNIT Mechanisms

Despite the lack of clinical trials and applications of LNIT, this route of immunotherapy has the potential to modulate immune responses systemically and in the local nasal airways. After LNIT with *Peritaria* allergen, inflammatory infiltration has decreased at local sites. In murine models, it was observed that although specific IgE reduced in the serum, the levels of specific and total IgA were increased in the saliva after LNIT [40]. Nonetheless, uncertain immunological mechanisms of LNIT are waiting to be enlightened.
Mast cells and Basophils

The effect of mast cells and basophils on AIT mechanism can be classified as early desensitization and late responses in tissues. The early desensitization of mast cells and basophils are a result of AIT and displays lower responsiveness to allergens even if the allergen-specific IgE levels have increased at the beginning of immunotherapy [6]. Additionally, late effects of AIT on mast cells and basophils based on the attenuation in tissue infiltration and diminishment in releasing of their mediators [41] (Figure 2). In addition, the allergen binds to IgE, which has already bound to the surface receptor FceRI on mast cells and basophils, triggers cross-linking of the receptors and as a result activating these cells. It is thought that IgG antibodies also play a role in modulating mast cell responses through the stimulation of inhibitory networks, after binding to FcγRIIA and FcγRIIB receptors on mast cells [41]. Furthermore, different subclasses of IgG, which are produced during the process of AIT also inhibit IgE-mediated basophil degranulation. Moreover, it was demonstrated that the most effective antibodies for suppressing FceRI on basophils were IgG3 and IgG2 [42]. In addition to early sensitization mechanism related to basophils, include rapid upregulation of histamine type 2 receptor (H2R) which has a suppressive effect on FceRI-mediated activation and degranulation of these cells. This mechanism of early allergen tolerance was determined in patients ongoing venom immunotherapy [41]. However, the mechanism remains to be elucidated.

Allergen-specific, Regulatory T and Follicular Helper T cells

Thymic or natural Tregs (nTregs), which are subsets of Tregs, are prone to perpetuate tolerance to self-antigens. Peripheral T cell tolerance is maintained with the generation of allergen-specific Tregs and reducing the Th2 cell numbers [43]. Immune response to allergens is a consequence of the balance between allergen-specific Tr1 cells and allergen-specific Th2 cells, which have a major role in the development of an allergy. It was shown that Tr1 cells apply their effect through cell-to-cell interactions by PD-1 and/or CTLA-4 also by means of cytokine products like IL-10 and TGF-β [44]. Immunological studies with allergen-peptide-MHCII tetramers and deep sequencing of T cell receptor repertoires have demonstrated that allergen-specific Foxp3+ Tregs predominate over the response in healthy individuals. On the other hand, the coexistence of Tregs and memory/effector Th2 cells in allergic individuals is most likely due to the recognition of different antigenic epitopes in the same certain allergen by these cell subsets [31]. In a different study with MHC class II tetramers, which have used to sort allergen-specific CD4+ T cells, IL-4, IFN-g and IL-10 cytokine-secreting cells, has shown that HDM-specific subcutaneous immunotherapy results in a decline of allergic symptoms which correlates with Der p 1-specific Treg cell subsets as well as IL-22-secreting CD4+T-cell responses [45]. This data supports alterations in antigen-specific Tregs during the AIT. Besides, different study with Der p1 and Pam3CSK4, a synthetic TLR2 ligand, illustrated that Der p 1 IT diminished CD8+ CD25+ CD137+ Treg frequency and decreased nNO (nasal nitric oxide) levels. On the other hand, Pam3CSK4 were able to modulate CD137 by cross-linking and retains FoxP3 expression of the CD8+ CD25+ Tregs. These data propose that Pam3CSK4 participates in controlling allergic inflammatory diseases through CD8+ CD25+ Treg cells [46]. In addition, SLIT, OIT and EPIT induce the alteration in epigenetically, especially hypomethylation in the FoxP3 promoter region in Tregs. These changes are responsible for Tregs suppressive functions as well as prolonged production of Tregs [47, 48].

It has been taken for granted that allergen-specific Tregs, allergen-specific and non-specific effector T cells demonstrate phenotypic heterogeneity, which are not completely enlightening. In a study with patients who has peanut allergy has demonstrated that there have changes in genes of individual CD4+ T cells during OIT which have sorted with peanut-MHC dextramers. Furthermore, more data from the same study implied that OIT induces
peanut-specific T cells in order to shift to anergic, memory T-cell phenotype (CD28lowKi67low) [49]. Based on the data from T cell receptor (TCR) analysis combined with single-cell RNA-seq demonstrates profiling T regulatory cell and conventional CD4+FoxP3− T cells [50]. The pathogenic subset of allergen-specific T cells, Th2A are characterized by a high expression of prostaglandin D2 receptor (CRTH2) and CD161 and CD49d and downregulation of CD27, C-C chemokine receptor (CCR)7, CD7, and CD45RB [51]. These antigen-specific Th2 cells were found at the centre of the allergic process in atopic individuals and display various phenotypic and functional features distinctive from conventional Th2 cells and were preferentially absent duringAIT [52]. Also, prolong SCIT and SLIT with grass-pollen were a clinical improvement during 2 years of treatment and were associated with reduced frequency of the allergen-specific CRTH2+CCR4+CD27-CD4+Th2 cells [53]. Likewise, after 52 weeks of SLIT, HDM-reactive IL-5+ IL-13+ CD27- CD161+ CD4+ cells and ST2+ CD45RO+ CD4+ Th2 cells were decreased in HDM-allergic patients [54].

Understanding the alteration induced in T cells, which support successful immunotherapy remains unexplored. Data from another peanut IT study, indicates that in the period of IT, anergic memory and nonallergic antigen-specific CD4+ T cells remarkably induced in immune-tolerant individuals. Distinct phenotypic clusters of CD4+ T cells were identified according to their markers. Th2 “allergic” as IL-4+/IL-13+, “nonallergic” as IFN-γ+, “regulatory” as FOXP3+/CD25+/IL-10+, and “anergic” type identified as CD28-/CD38-/IFN-γ/IL-4-/IL-13-/IL-10- in CD4+ T-cell subsets. Furthermore, data present antigen-specific CD4 T cells clonally expanded during OIT has shown the capability to transform into anergic and nonallergic phenotypes from an allergic and regulatory phenotypes [49].

In addition, another subtype of T cells, follicular helper T (Tfh) cells effect on SCIT has shown with reduced frequency of Tfh cells in AIT-treated patients. However, when it comes to the observation of immunologically related genes such as FOXP3, CCR8, LAG3, CD70, CCL5, LGALS3, ENC1 between CXCR5hi and CXCR5low subtypes of Tfh cells from the same donor, they were independent of AIT [55]. Among Tfh cells, Tfh2 subtype has unique with IL-4 secretion. It has been shown that after AIT with Der p 1, antigen-specific IL-4+ Tfh cell numbers decreased together with the remission of clinical symptoms from allergic rhinitis patients [56]. Nonetheless, the main mechanism of reduced frequency of Tfh cells in AIT remains unknown.

### Regulatory B cells

Bregs are one of the main players of sustaining allergen tolerance, even if the mechanism of inducing tolerance is not fully understood. What is known, Bregs could support AIT through induction of Tregs, direct or indirect suppression of effector T cells by inhibiting dendritic cell (DC) [57]. Bregs are capable of inhibiting allergen-specific T cell proliferation, which is activated via the secretion of their marker cytokine, IL-10 [58]. Furthermore, it has been proven that early response to AIT requires IL-10 induction in B cells [59]. In a clinical study with grass-pollen allergic patients undergoing AIT, data demonstrates the success of long-term therapy. Moreover, PD-L1 expression was allergen-specifically up-regulated on circulating B cells was also indicated with local gene expression analysis. During this long-term therapy, it was demonstrated that in the nasal mucosa IL-10+ B cells were increased [58].

A human regulatory B cell subset which plays a role in tolerance to allergens was identified as CD73-CD25+CD71+ BR1 cells that produce IL-10 and IgG4-producing antibody-forming cells essentially develop from BR1 cells [36]. A recent study has shown that Breg cell subsets have gone to alteration between responder and non-responder patients during 2 years after HDM extract subcutaneous AIT. BR1 cells produces IL-1RA in addition to IL-10. Moreover, IL-10+IL1RA+Breg cells were expanded and the cell count was higher among the responders [37]. BR1 cells could suppress the proliferation of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells. On the other hand,
IL-10 secreting B cells which are allergen-specific have demonstrated increased numbers, up to 5-fold, after venom immunotherapy (VIT) initialized. Also these IL-10+ Bregs can upregulate IgG4 secretion while suppressing Th cell proliferation [36]. Moreover, allergen-specific B cells have shown upregulation of CCR5 expression during VIT. However, the function and impact have not been revealed yet [60]. In another clinical trial with peanut OIT, increased levels of circulating allergen-specific B cells were also observed [61]. Current studies support remarkably B cell effect with AIT-driven responses.

**Innate lymphoid cells**

ILCs are transcriptionally and functionally similar to the T-cell and the subsets, yet the important difference is the lack of clonally distributed specific antigen receptors on their cell membrane [62]. ILCs can be divided into three subgroups based on their transcriptional factors and cytokine profiles. Group 1 ILCs (ILC1s) commonly require T-bet (transcriptional factor) for development and their main effector cytokine known as IFN-γ. Development of group 2 ILCs (ILC2s) controls by GATA-3 and express a cytokine profile similar to Th2 cells whereas group 3 ILCs (ILC3s) are contingent on retinoic acid-related orphan receptor gamma t (RORγt) with the expression of IL-17 and IL-22 [63, 64]. As it seems, ILCs are highly plastic cells, which respond to stress signals through their cytokines, cell-surface receptors and lipid mediators induced by microbes and allergens [62]. ILC2s were observed as the dominant subtype of ILCs in the circulation of allergic patients with grass pollen allergy receiving AIT [65]. Another study was performed to assess the levels of ILC subsets in allergic rhinitis (AR) patients to house dust mite (HDM)-specific immunotherapy. AIT patients who have responded to AIT and healthy subjects demonstrated a similar reduction in circulating ILC2s. On the contrary, ILC1s frequency increased in both groups. As for ILC3 cells, natural cytotoxicity receptor (NCR)+ expression was lower in clinical responders compared to healthy control [66]. These results suggest AIT may shift from ILC2s to ILC1s and affects the frequency of ILC3s. However, more data must be provided to elucidate the mechanism of ILCs in AIT. A separate study showed similar results using a different allergen treatment by suppression of peripheral ILC2s during the pollen season in SCIT-treated patients [67].

**Dendritic Cell Subsets**

In addition to basophils and mast cells, many other cell types can contribute to early immunotherapy responses. APCs can bind and internalize allergen-IgE immune complexes and therefore enhance allergen-specific T cell activation [68]. Recent studies described the main role of Tregs and classical/conventional dendritic cells (cDCs) related with oral tolerance, which requires the CCR7 dependent fundamental migration of cDCs from the lamina propria (LP) to draining mesenchymal lymph nodes (MesLNs) [69, 70]. It has been showed that SLIT induces Tregs in mice through oral cDCs with the CD103–CD11b+ phenotype exhibit retinoic acid-producing activity and changes naive CD4+ T cells into Foxp3+ Tregs in vitro in a TGF-β dependent and retinoic acid-dependent way. Oral CD103–CD11b+ cDCs transport sublingual antigens to submandibular lymph nodes hereby induce antigen-specific Treg cells [69], as well as another type of dendritic cell, CD103+ cDCs, are capable of transport orally administered soluble antigens to the MesLNs of mice through CCR7-dependent mechanism [71]. CD103+DCs is also known as tolerogenic APCs and can lead to the differentiation of T cells into Tregs [72], through their ability to express indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), an enzyme comprises tryptophan catabolism [73].

Recent studies suggest that DCs are the connecting bridge between innate and adaptive immune system via their tolerance induction abilities thereby may contribute to novel approaches of AIT routes. However, most of the new observations of DCs subsets in animal models must be also confirmed in humans.
Relationship among the responses of IgE, IgG and IgA

Subcutaneous and sublingual treatment of AIT causes the early increase in allergen-specific IgE in serum but it is only temporary. Increased IgE concentrations can result in adverse effects and allergic symptoms. On the other hand, perpetuated immunotherapy paves the way for reducing the levels of allergen-specific IgE which may promote long-term clinical tolerance [53]. Additionally, allergen-specific IgE levels in serum are prone to decrease over time, regardless of regular and increasing doses of allergen exposure [74] (Figure 2).

According to literature reports, an essential mechanism of immune tolerance to allergens is likely to involve an induction of IgG4, which captures the allergen before it reaches IgE. When IgG4 cross-links with FcγRIIb, which is located on the surface of mast cells or basophils, this engagement prevents the activation of these cells [6, 74, 75]. Evidence of an IgG-FcγRIIb link was demonstrated in food-allergic mice models [76]. Conclusion of IgG4 related reports suggests that AIT-induced allergen specific IgGs may block mast cell degranulation [68] and downregulate IL-4 secretion thus conducts Tregs and Th2 balance in allergic individuals [4] (Figure 2).

Although there have been significant improvements in our current understanding on the mechanisms and basic contributions of humoral IgG, additional pathways need to be elucidated. The constricted perspective on allergen-specific IgG4 in AIT have omitted that other immunoglobulin subclasses in human allergic disease may contribute to the blocking and inhibitory response of IgG [74]. In vitro assays using IgG subclasses, other than IgG4, have shown the effector cell suppression via blocking IgE-allergen binding [75].

In spite of the fact that other subclasses of IgG induction during AIT are not fully understood, there is growing evidence of IgA relevant to tolerogenic potential. In an allergic mouse model, animals sensitized with egg white have given information about IgA in AIT. After 12 days of administration, allergen-specific IgA levels were higher in OIT mouse with short-term treatment [77]. A recent human OIT study has reported that during egg OIT, egg- and component-specific IgA, IgA1 and IgA2 levels in plasma also increased in patients who responded to therapy. Ratios of IgG4, IgA, and IgA2 to IgE may be useful to assess the benefit and clinical response to egg OIT. It has been suggested that IgG4 and IgA play a protective role after OIT was stopped [78]. Furthermore, salivary allergen-specific IgA was established as an effective biomarker in peanut sublingual AIT in humans, which is also correlated with the rating of tolerance posterior to AIT [74]. A recent study demonstrated that after 2 years of SCIT with HDM, Der p1-specific IgA increased in plasma relative to baseline levels. Der p 1-specific IgG4 levels increased in responders within 2 years of AIT [37]. Whole mechanisms underlay the allergen-specific IgA responses in AIT is required for the development of therapeutic strategies.

Promising Biomarkers for Diagnosis, Evaluating the Effectiveness of AIT and Inducing Immune Tolerance

AIT research using a combination of biological agents has become more frequent in recent years. In spite of the efficacy of AIT is adequate, not all patients respond to AIT and benefit from the treatment. Numerous studies assist to disclose the mechanism of AIT, though the recent knowledge is inadequate to predict the clinical response to the treatment owing to deprivation of surrogate biomarkers. Nevertheless, several biomarkers are candidates to predict positive clinical outcomes and evaluate AIT efficacy and acquire tolerance [79]. We will discuss this in subtopics.

Basophil Response

Basophil responsiveness has been considered as a potential biomarker for the assessment of immunotherapy outcome. In a recent study, the allergen threshold sensitivity (CD-Sens) was used through the basophil activation test in order to predict clinical efficacy and keep track of immune responses to AIT. The study was performed with allergic rhinitis patients who received SLIT and have an allergy to a kind of pollen allergen, Parietaria. After 12 months
of SLIT, the patients showed reduced severity of allergic symptoms and increased tolerability of basophils to the *Parietaria* with the guidance of CD-Sens through both CD63 and CD203c. Nonetheless, corresponding with clinical symptoms, only CD203c showed a correlation in patients [80].

It is known that immunotherapy inhibits histamine release from basophils, yet the assay is impractical and there is inadequate data in IT withdrawal symptoms. In order to provide these requirements, fluorochrome-labelled diamine oxidase (DAO) which has the potential to quantify the intracellular histamine was tested as a surrogate marker for detecting histamine release in allergic rhinitis patients undergoing SCIT and SLIT. As a result, intracellularly labelled DAO+ and surface expression of CD63, CD203c, and CD107a levels in whole-blood basophils indicated diminished basophil responsiveness and histamine release after SCIT and SLIT. In addition, this reduction was accompanied by a correlation with reduced allergic rhinitis symptoms [81]. Thus, these novel biomarkers related to basophil activation could be used to predict the outcome of AIT responsiveness and could be used to monitor the clinical outcomes and positive response to immunotherapy.

**Observing Total IgE, Allergen-specific IgE and IgG4**

In addition to clinical symptoms, inclusion criteria for initiating AIT includes elevated serum-specific IgE (sIgE) levels upon allergen exposure [82]. Different studies have indicated that during the first few months of AIT, there was no clinical change of allergen-specific IgE serum levels in patients, but after 6 to 12 months, a progressive decrease was observed in the sIgEs in long-term AIT studies [83]. Moreover, allergen-specific IgE levels decreased gradually after the initial increase during immunotherapy in food allergy studies without consistent clinical improvement [83, 84]. Similar to sIgE, a transient primary increase was followed by a decreased observed of total IgE (tIgE). However, there is a wide discrepancy between different studies [33]. Using the sIgE/tIgE ratio resulted in inconsistent data when used as a predictive marker of clinical response to AIT. Additionally, the sIgE/tIgE ratio might have played a role in predicting responsiveness to AIT with pollen-allergic patients. However, a successive randomized, controlled, open-labelled study could not replicate the results [85]. This data was supported by another study with mono-sensitized patients to house dust mite during AIT which demonstrated a significant correlation between the ratio of sIgE/tIgE and alterations on visual analogue and rhinitis symptom scores [86]. Nevertheless, additional research and clarification is essential for the use of sIgE/tIgE ratio and maybe promising potential marker for response to AIT, especially for food allergies.

Another antibody isotype, which has shown elevated levels within the first months of AIT is allergen-specific IgG4 (sIgG4) [81, 87]. Functional activities of IgG can assess with IgE-facilitated allergen binding to B cells (IgE-FAB) which measures the serum inhibitory capacity. In addition to this flow cytometry-based assay, it can be measured with a fluorescent immunoassay which is called Immunosolid-phase allergen chip (ISAC) [88, 89]. This method contains immobilization of allergens on a microarray chip which allows simultaneous measurement of specific IgE antibodies to allergen sources, and allergen-specific IgG and IgG4 measurements. However, it is a semiquantitative measurement and the composition of the allergen extracts are not standardized [89]. A different study with cat allergic patients has reported the therapeutic value of IgG as part of AIT. Results indicate the durability of high-affinity antibodies also powerful in the context of neutralizing which has shown effective protection at low serum concentrations in the clinic. The de novo IgG4 response, which was induced during AIT, decreased after discontinuation of treatment [90], while the persistence of blocking antibodies was associated with clinical tolerance [74]. In brief, there is a need for further research to improve the efficacy of antibodies and potential roles as biomarkers.

**T Regulatory Cells and IL-10+ Subset**

There is a plethora of research on the role of allergen-specific Tregs in the initiation and perpetuation of healthy immune responses to allergens [33, 91].
After immune tolerance induced via SLIT, it led to an expansion in Treg cell count and an increased allergen-specific IgG4 levels in most of the patients due to suppression of Th2 responses by the second year of AIT. Furthermore, the induced cell population was identified as CD4+CD127−CD45RA−CD25high cell subset (similar to activated memory Treg), which was accompanied by IL-10 production [92], even though the suppressive capacity of these specific Treg subsets requires further studies. In addition to these findings, a 3 year follow-up study with patients undergoing subcutaneous house dust mite (HDM)-specific immunotherapy has shown that the alteration in allergen-specific Treg cells, 75% of patients has demonstrated an elevation in IL-10 T regulatory (Tr)1 cell numbers during AIT [45]. Furthermore, 30 weeks later from the start of therapy, Der p 1-specific IL-10 and IL-22 secretion were higher than baseline levels. However, after 3 years of AIT, the only remaining Tregs with high frequency were IL-10+ Der p 1-specific ones which were also associated with improved allergic symptoms [45]. These findings support the idea of monitoring peripheral allergy tolerance and clinical response of HDM-specific SCIT by using Der p 1-MHC class II tetramer. When SLIT combined with systemic administration of intraperitoneal injection of the low doses of IL-2 monoclonal antibody in mice, this combined therapy leads to enhancement in the frequency of IL-10-secreting CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ Tregs in lymph nodes and lamina propria, which is a promising novel approach for humans in AIT [93].

Conclusions

The natural form of allergen or raw allergen extracts used in AIT might vary depending on the source [97, 98]. Although it is an advantage that recombinant allergens are standardized, the clinical response to the whole allergen source may not be entirely regulated by single antigens [99]. Due to the risk of stimulating IgE production through recombinant allergens, there is a limited use of manufactured allergens.

The result from SCIT and SLIT studies have shown a reduction in allergen-specific CD4 T-cell numbers with the presence of clinical improvement. Nevertheless, two years after treatment completion, it was observed that participants allergic parameters returned to the baseline [100]. The study supports
the inefficiency of AIT at sustaining an immunological effect. The aim of AIT must be to preserve the benefits of therapy and stabilize this state. In addition, there is a shortage of reliable molecular and cellular biomarkers to monitor the therapeutic effect of vaccine therapies to indicate the clinical stage of tolerance to allergens [58].
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Разнообразие механизмов аллерген-специфической иммунотерапии
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Аллергенспецифическая имmunотерапия (АСИТ) широко используется с целью обеспечения адекватного иммунного ответа, и в настоящее время это единственный вид терапии, модифицирующий патологический процесс. Существуют различные способы введения allergена, включая подкожно, сублингвально, внутрилимфатически, накожно, интраверильно и интраназально. Несмотря на то, что оптимальный путь введения зависит от типа allergена, некоторые пациенты остаются невосприимчивыми, и поэтому важно прогнозировать результат до и во время лечения. Следовательно, существует необходимость в выявлении потенциальных прогностических маркеров для иммунотерапии allergенами. В обзоре обсуждаются результаты современных исследований молекулярных механизмов АСИТ.
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